

Cross-Sectional Study of Burnout among a Group of Egyptian Oncologists at Ain Shams University

Ramy R. Ghali ¹, Dina N. K. Boulos ², Mohamed Alorabi ¹

¹ Clinical Oncology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt; ² Community, Environmental and Occupational Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

Background: Oncologists are at risk of developing burn out syndrome due to many stressors they may face.

Aim: To determine the level of burnout in a cohort of clinical oncologists working in an Egyptian university hospital.

Methods: Fifty-two clinical oncologists were invited to participate in the study. Burnout was assessed using the Arabic version of Maslach Burnout Inventory Human Services Survey (MBI-HSS).

Results: The response rate was 90% (47/52). The majority (70%) of responders were young oncologists and 62% had >10 years experience in the oncology field. Females represented 52% of them and 62% were married. The MBI-HSS scores indicated that 72% of participants had burnout on the emotional exhaustion (EE) scale, 49% on the depersonalization (DP) scale and 38% on the personal accomplishment (PA) scale. A significantly lower PA score was associated with female gender, being single, viewing vacation time as insufficient, and an experience duration ≤ 10 years in oncology ($p = 0.01, 0.01, 0.03, \text{ and } 0.02$; respectively). The preference not to choose again oncology as a career was also associated with significantly lower PA score and higher EE score ($p=0.02$ and 0.001 ; respectively).

Conclusion: The surveyed oncologists experienced high burnout. Larger studies are needed in order to assess the burden of the problem and to develop evidence-based interventions to reduce it.

Corresponding Author: Ramy Ghali, MD; Clinical Oncology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt; E-mail: ramyghali@hotmail.com

Keywords: Burnout, Oncologists, Egypt

Submitted: 8-April-2018, **Revised:** 17-May-18, **Accepted:** 21-May-18, **Published online:** 19-November-2018

INTRODUCTION

Burnout syndrome (BS) is characterized by emotional exhaustion (EE), depersonalization (DP) and reduced personal accomplishment (PA) ¹. At the personal level of health care professionals, it is known to have a detrimental effect on the quality of life and may be associated with a higher risk of suicidal thinking among them ². Furthermore, burnout may contribute to a decrease in the quality of care provided and an increase in medical errors. Absenteeism, less empathy and changing career are other consequences of burnout ^{3,4}.

Although rewarding, caring for cancer patients is demanding and stressful ⁵. Oncologists work long hours, supervise the administration of chemotherapy and are continuously exposed to death and suffering ^{6,7}. Burnout and compassion fatigue are common among oncologists as found in many studies from around the world ⁸⁻¹⁰.

Insufficient vacation time, patients' unrealistic expectations, frequent losses and ethical issues are among the factors that may increase burnout and psychological morbidity among oncologists ⁸.

Burnout has not been studied before among Egyptian oncologists. This study was conducted to determine the prevalence of burnout and to examine

factors that may contribute to it in a group of Egyptian clinical oncologists working at Ain Shams University.

METHODS

Study population

This cross-sectional study was conducted on fifty-two volunteering oncologists working at Ain Shams Faculty of Medicine-Clinical Oncology Department, after getting approval from the institutional ethical committee.

Data collection

Study participants filled a self-administrated anonymous questionnaire consisting of two parts.

The first part covered age, gender, marital status, number/age of children, current professional rank, studying for a medical degree, years of experience in oncology field, working hours per week, number of patients examined per day, night shifts, practicing regular physical activity/hobby, vacation time, effect of working in oncology field on family and social life, possibility of choosing oncology as a career again. These questions aimed to assess socio-demographic and occupational background.

The second part of the questionnaire included the Arabic version of the Maslach Burnout Inventory

Human Services Survey (MBI-HSS), which was used to assess burnout after obtaining the permission of the developers ¹¹. The MBI-HSS is well known as the leading and most commonly used measure of burnout and is validated by extensive research that has been conducted since its initial publication ¹. It includes 22-items divided into three subscales: 9 items to measure emotional exhaustion (EE), 5 to measure depersonalization (DP) and 8 to measure personal accomplishment (PA). For each item, a 7-point Likert scale was used to assess response. Higher scores on the EE and DP scales and lower scores on the PA indicate higher burnout level. Scores indicating burnout were classified as high on the basis of cut-off points recommended by the developer of the MBI scale ¹¹. Burnout was defined as high levels of emotional exhaustion, high levels of depersonalization or low levels of personal accomplishment.

Participants were asked to complete the questionnaire within one week away from stressful conditions.

Statistical analysis

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows (version 19, IBM Corp., New York, USA) was used for data analysis. Descriptive statistics were calculated for the socio-demographic and occupational characteristics and for the three aspects of burnout. Student's *t*-test, was used to test differences in the MBI subscale scores according to the socio-demographic and job characteristics. All statistical tests were two-tailed, with a significance level of 0.05.

RESULTS

The vast majority (47/52, 90.4%) of invited oncologists completed the questionnaire. Table 1 illustrates the socio-demographic characteristics of participants. The majority of participants were <40 years of age, females, married and having children. A minority of them had regular physical activity, practiced regular hobby and considered vacation sufficient.

Job characteristics of participating oncologists are illustrated in table 2. The majority (70.2%) of participants stated that they would choose oncology again as a career if they had the chance to do. The other 29.8% preferred to choose another specialty. Regarding the impact of practicing oncology on social life and family, most (68.1%) of participants believed that it has a mixed positive and negative impact and only 6.4% believed that it has a negative impact.

The average scores of the MBI subscales and categorization of participants according to the score are shown in table 3.

In bivariate analysis (table 4), the only variable associated with significantly higher EE and DP scores and lower PA score was the oncologists' preference not to choose oncology as a specialty again if they had the chance to do so. There was significant association between higher PA scores with male gender, being

married, considering vacation sufficient and >10 years experience.

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants

	No.	%
Age		
< 40 years	33	70.2
>40 years	14	29.8
Sex		
Male	21	44.7
Female	26	55.3
Marital status		
Single	18	38.3
Married	29	61.7
Having children		
Yes	26	55.3
No	21	44.7
Regular physical activity		
Yes	11	23.4
No	36	76.6
Regular hobby		
Yes	11	23.4
No	36	76.6
Consider vacation sufficient		
Yes	12	25.5
No	35	74.5

Table 2: Job characteristics of the study participants

	No.	%
Professional rank		
Resident	10	21.3
Assistant Lecturer	14	29.8
Lecturer	9	19.1
Associate Professor	5	10.6
Professor	9	19.1
Years of experience in oncology field		
≤ 10 years	29	61.7
>10 years	18	38.3
Currently studying for a medical degree		
Yes	34	72.3
No	13	27.7
Working hours per week		
≤ 48 hours	26	55.3
>48 hours	21	44.7
Number of patients examined per day		
≤15 patients	23	48.9
>15 patients	24	51.1
Working night shifts		
Yes	14	29.8
No	33	70.2

Table3. MBI subscales score and interpretation

Subscale	Mean (SD)	Burnout level (n [%])		
		Low	Moderate	High
Emotional exhaustion (EE)	33.9 (13.1)	2 (4.3)	11 (23.4)	34 (72.3)
Depersonalization (DP)	13.1 (6.2)	7 (14.9)	17 (36.2)	23 (48.9)
Personal accomplishment (PA)	32.4 (6.4)	8 (17)	21 (44.7)	18 (38.3)

Table 4: Mean MBI subscale scores according to participants' socio-demographic and job characteristics

Sociodemographic characteristics	Emotional exhaustion			Depersonalization			Personal accomplishment		
	Mean (SD)	t	p value	Mean (SD)	t	p value	Mean (SD)	t	p value
Age groups									
≤40 years	34.3 (9.5)	0.25	0.79	13.8 (4.8)	1.15	0.25	31.5 (6.9)	1.47	0.14
>40 years	33.2 (19.5)			11.5 (8.6)			34.5 (4.5)		
Gender									
Male	33.3 (15.8)	0.27	0.78	15.1 (11.6)	1.96	0.056	35 (3.3)	2.7	0.01
Female	34.4 (10.5)			11.6 (5.7)			30.2 (7.5)		
Marital status									
Single	36.3 (10.4)	0.97	0.33	14 (4.7)	0.71	0.47	29.4 (8.3)	2.6	0.01
Married	32.5 (14.5)			12.6 (7)			34.2 (4.1)		
Having children									
Yes	33 (15.2)	0.54	0.58	12.6 (7.4)	0.62	0.53	34 (4.3)	1.9	0.057
No	35.1 (10.1)			13.8 (4.4)			30.4 (8)		
Regular Physical Activity/ hobby									
Yes	30.6 (10.4)	0.96	0.34	12.7 (5.8)	0.26	0.79	34.3 (4.5)	1.16	0.25
No	35 (13.7)			13.3 (6.4)			31.8 (6.8)		
Consider vacation sufficient									
Yes	32.5 (9.5)	0.52	0.6	12.1 (8)	0.69	0.49	35.8 (3.6)	2.23	0.03
No	34.5 (14.1)			13.5 (5.5)			31.2 (6.7)		
Years of experience in oncology field									
≤ 10 years	33.9 (9.6)	0.009	0.99	14.2 (4.5)	1.5	0.13	30.7 (6.9)	2.33	0.02
>10 years	34 (17.6)			11.4 (8.1)			35.0 (4.5)		
Currently studying for a medical degree									
Yes	32.9 (9.4)	0.85	0.39	13.4 (5.7)	0.47	0.63	32 (6)	0.69	0.49
No	36.6 (19.9)			12.5 (7.7)			33.4 (7.4)		
Working hours per week									
≤ 48 hours	34.6 (15.2)	0.39	0.69	12.8 (7.4)	0.34	0.73	32.7 (5.7)	0.33	0.74
>48 hours	33.1 (10.1)			13.5 (4.5)			32 (7.3)		
Number of patients examined per day									
< 15 patients	32.3 (10.8)	0.88	0.38	13.8 (6.1)	0.7	0.48	32.6 (6.2)	0.25	0.79
>15 patients	35.6 (14.9)			12.5 (6.4)			32.2 (6.7)		
Working night shifts									
Yes	33.2 (10.5)	0.25	0.79	14.9 (4.4)	1.26	0.21	30.6 (5.5)	1.23	0.22
No	34.3 (14.1)			12.4 (6.7)			33.1 (6.7)		
Would choose oncology as career again									
Yes	30 (9.5)	3.56	0.001	12 (5.4)	1.98	0.05	33.7 (5.7)	2.32	0.02
No	43.2 (15.7)			15.8 (7.3)			29.2 (6.9)		

DISCUSSION

Due to the many stressors faced by oncologists, they may develop burnout. This may be in the form of high levels of EE and DP and reduction in perceived PA¹.

Compared to other similar studies¹²⁻¹⁴, the response rate in the current study is very high. The vast majority (>90%) of invited oncologists completed the questionnaire. This indicates the relevance of the subject

to Egyptian oncologists and encourages conducting future research to study the psychological burden among them.

In the current study, and according to MBI definition, 72.3% suffered from high burnout on the EE scale, 48.9% on the DP scale and 38.3% on the PA scale compared to 51.2%, 31.8% and 6.8% respectively in a Belgian study¹⁵. In a Turkish study the percentage of high burnout on the EE, DP and PA scales of the MBI was 42%, 20%, and 35.6%, respectively¹⁶.

This is congruent with the results of a meta-analysis in which burnout was highly prevalent among cancer care professionals around the world¹⁷. The burnout prevalence in our study was even higher.

Our findings support the concern that oncologists working at Ain Shams Clinical Oncology Department experience high level of stress, examine large number of patients per day and consider vacation time as insufficient. This is the same for many oncologists worldwide^{12, 13}. Despite all these, the majority of them (70%) still would select oncology as a career if they had the chance to choose again.

The need for sufficient vacation time was demonstrated in our study, as 74.5% reported that vacation time was not sufficient. In another study, sufficient vacation time was rated by the majority of medical oncologists as the most important factor to avert burnout¹². Those who viewed vacation as insufficient lacked personal accomplishment compared to those who viewed it as sufficient and had higher level of burnout on PA subscale.

In a Canadian study that included oncologists working in Ontario, EE was associated with the willingness to change career or reducing hours of work¹³. Similarly, in the current study, EE was only significantly higher in oncologists who would not choose oncology as a career again.

The correlation between socio-demographic factors and burnout level has been demonstrated. Age is among these factors and this may be explained by the more difficulties faced during earlier career years. Several studies showed that younger physicians experience higher burnout¹⁶⁻¹⁸. Younger oncologist in our institution didn't show higher levels of burnout. This could be attributed to the fact that the cut-off age for youth in our study was 40 years. Another explanation is that by having more experience and obtaining the master and doctorate degrees, oncologist would handle more patients.

The relation between gender and burnout is inconsistent across studies. Many of the studies found no significant relation while some found that female gender is associated with higher burnout scores¹⁶. On the other hand, Maslach et al reported higher DP scores among males¹. Similarly, male oncologists had higher scores on the DP scores which did not reach statistical significance. This may be attributed to the small sample size. In our analysis, females had significantly higher burnout scores on the PA subscale only.

Being married and having children was found to be protective against burnout among oncologists^{14, 16, 18-20}. Similarly in our study the mean PA score was significantly lower in association with single marital status. Also having children was associated with statistically insignificant lower level of burnout on the PA scale. This was not noticeable on the EE and DP subscales.

Targeting modifiable factors that may contribute to burnout, like insufficient vacation time and high work load may help in reducing burnout among oncologists.

Conclusion

The surveyed oncologists experienced high burnout level.

Larger studies are needed in order to assess the burden of the problem and to develop evidence-based interventions to reduce it in order to improve the health care outcome in the field of Oncology.

Acknowledgement

The abstract of this research was accepted for poster presentation at the European Cancer Congress 2015 (18th ECCO - 40th ESMO), 25-29 September 2015, Vienna, Austria. The published abstract citation is: Alorabi M, Ghali R, Boulos D. 1546 Cross-sectional study of burnout among a group of Egyptian oncologists at Ain Shams University. *Eur J Cancer*. 2015, 51 (Suppl 3): S219-S220. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-8049\(16\)30636-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-8049(16)30636-0)

REFERENCES

- Maslach C, Schaufeli WB, Leiter MP. Job burnout. *Annu Rev Psychol*. 2001; 52: 397-422.
- Dyrbye LN, Thomas MR, Massie FS, et al. Burnout and suicidal ideation among US medical students. *Ann Intern Med*. 2008; 149(5): 334-341.
- Shanafelt TD, Bradley KA, Wipf JE, Back AL. Burnout and self-reported patient care in an internal medicine residency program. *Ann Intern Med*. 2002; 136(5): 358-367.
- Prins JT, Gazendam-Donofrio SM, Tubben BJ, van der Heijden FM, van de Wiel HB, Hoekstra-Weebers JE. Burnout in medical residents: a review. *Med Educ*. 2007; 41(8): 788-800.
- Shanafelt T, Dyrbye L. Oncologist burnout: causes, consequences, and responses. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012; 30(11): 1235-1241.
- Ramirez AJ, Graham J, Richards MA, et al. Burnout and psychiatric disorder among cancer clinicians. *Br J Cancer*. 1995; 71(6): 1263-1269.
- Shanafelt T, Adjei A, Meyskens FL. When your favorite patient relapses: physician grief and well-being in the practice of oncology. *J Clin Oncol*. 2003; 21(13): 2616-2619.
- Lyckholm L. Dealing with stress, burnout, and grief in the practice of oncology. *Lancet Oncol*. 2001; 2(12): 750-755.
- Sherman AC, Edwards D, Simonton S, Mehta P. Caregiver stress and burnout in an oncology unit. *Palliat Support Care*. 2006; 4(1): 65-80.
- Najjar N, Davis LW, Beck-Coon K, Carney Doebbeling C. Compassion fatigue: A review of the research to date and relevance to cancer-care providers. *Journal of Health Psychology*. 2009; 14(2): 267-277.
- Maslach C, Jackson SE, Leiter MP. Maslach burnout inventory manual. Palo Alto (CA): Consulting Psychologists Press; 1996.
- Whippen DA, Canellos GP. Burnout syndrome in the practice of oncology: results of a random of 1,000 oncologists. *J Clin Oncol*. 1991; 9(10): 1916-1920.
- Grunfeld E, Whelan TJ, Zitzelsberger L, Willan AR, Montesanto B, Evans WK. Cancer care workers in Ontario: prevalence of burnout, job stress and job satisfaction. *CMAJ*. 2000; 163(2): 166-169.

14. Glasberg J, Horiuti L, Novais MA, et al. Prevalence of the burnout syndrome among Brazilian medical oncologists. *Revi Assoc Med Bras* (1992). 2007; 53(1): 85-89.
15. Eelen S, Bauwens S, Baillon C, Distelmans W, Jacobs E, Verzelen A. The prevalence of burnout among oncology professionals: oncologists are at risk of developing burnout. *Psychooncology*. 2014; 23(12): 1415-1422.
16. Demirci S, Yildirim YK, Ozsaran Z, Uslu R, Yalman D, Aras AB. Evaluation of burnout syndrome in oncology employees. *Med Oncol*. 2010; 27(3): 968–974.
17. Trufelli DC, Bensi CG, Garcia JB, et al. Burnout in cancer professionals: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl)*. 2008; 17(6): 524-531.
18. Alacacioglu A, Yavuzsen T, Dirioz M, Oztop I, Yilmaz U. Burnout in nurses and physicians working at an oncology department. *Psychooncology*. 2009; 18(5): 543-548.
19. Kuerer HM, Eberlein TJ, Pollock RE, et al. Career satisfaction, practice patterns and burnout among surgical oncologists: report on the quality of life of members of the Society of Surgical Oncology. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2007; 14(11): 3043–3053.
20. Liakopoulou M, Panaretaki I, Papadakis V, et al. Burnout, staff support, and coping in Pediatric Oncology. *Support Care Cancer*. 2008; 16(2): 143–150.