Pharmacokinetic-Based Chemotherapy Dosing in Advanced Head and Neck Cancer: A Mini Review
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Despite the continuous evolution in different treatment modalities for head and neck cancer (HNC), severe side effects of medications remain a challenge. Chemotherapy (CTH)-induced toxicities may lead to alterations in the treatment plan in the form of dose reduction, treatment delay or even discontinuation of treatment. Many efforts were done to overcome the impact of CTH-induced toxicity on HNC treatment. One of the explored strategies is the pharmacokinetic (PK)-based dosing of CTH.

A literature search for trials investigating PK-based CTH dosing in HNC from 1988 to 2018 was performed. Few clinical studies including one randomized clinical trial were identified. The limited evidence obtained from these studies shows that PK-based CTH dosing in HNC results in significantly less toxicities with no detrimental impact on treatment outcome. The current evidence is not enough to recommend the routine use of PK-based CTH dosing in HNC. However, the promising results call for future studies.
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INTRODUCTION

Induction chemotherapy (CTH) in stage III and IV head and neck cancer (HNC) resulted in better response rate and survival; but, unfortunately, it was associated with increased toxicity. Severe (grade III and IV) hematological toxicity is one of the most common reported side effects of induction CTH in HNC 1, 2. Chemotherapy-related toxicities may significantly affect the treatment outcomes as it might lead to CTH delay, reduction of the scheduled total number of cycles or affect the patient’s chance of completing his subsequent radical chemo-radiotherapy course successfully without interruptions 3.

Pharmacokinetic (PK)-based dose adjustment of CTH had been assessed in a number of studies with the aim of improving therapeutic outcome and lowering toxicity profile and the results were promising 3-6. However, to date, body surface area dosing remains the standard of care whenever CTH is prescribed 7.

This review addresses the value of PK-based CTH dosing in advanced HNC.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

A search of online databases was done using the search words “advanced head and neck cancer,” “chemotherapy,” and “pharmacokinetics”. We included in this review peer-reviewed studies published in English from 1988 to 2018. Four studies were included and summarized in table 1.

In 1989, Santini et al published the results of their study which assessed the therapeutic monitoring of 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) with dose adjustment in HNC 3. One hundred and seventy cases with HNC (all were squamous cell carcinoma) received induction CTH divided into two groups. The first group (n=89) represented a retrospective study which was based on the measurement of the concentration of 5-FU in blood systemically every cycle for 177 cycles. The aim of group 1 study was to aid in comparing the area under the curve (AUC) distribution values in tolerating treatment and response to disease. The second group (n=81) prospective study included 249 cycles in which the dose was modified based on the data obtained from the first group study. The PK analysis of the first group revealed that the AUC for half cycle and the entire cycle reflected the cycle toxicity. Reducing the dose during the second half of the cycle for cases of the second group was based on real-time analysis and measurement of AUC during half cycle. Doses were reduced in 40% of the cycles during the second half of the course. There was a significant difference in the complete response rates between group 1 and group 2 (31% and 47%, respectively) with a p value < 0.05. In addition, a significant difference in high grade toxicities was observed between the two groups in favor of group 2 (grade 3-4 toxicity was 20% in group 1 vs. 12.4% in group 2, p<0.05).
Table 1: Studies that investigated the role of pharmacokinetic-based chemotherapy dosing in head and neck cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year)</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Santini et al (1989)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>Group 1 (n = 89): retrospective PK analysis of 177 cycles of 5-FU to compare the AUC distribution as a function of response &amp; tolerance. Group 2 (n = 81): prospective analysis of 249 cycles of 5-FU in which the dose was modified according to the results of group 1.</td>
<td>Complete response rate: significantly higher in group 2 (47%) than in group 1 (31%), (p&lt;0.05). Incidence of toxic cycles: significantly lower in group 2 (12.4%) than in group 1 (20%), (p&lt;0.05).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fety et al (1998)</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>Randomized clinical trial including two arms: • Standard arm (n = 61): BSA-based 5-FU dosing • PK arm (n = 61): 5-FU dose adjustment according to AUC 0-48h</td>
<td>Grade 3-4 neutropenia &amp; thrombocytopenia: significantly lower in PK arm than standard arm (7.6% vs. 17.5%, p = 0.013) Grade 3-4 mucositis: significantly lower in PK arm than standard arm (0% vs. 5.1%, p &lt; 0.01) Objective response rate: no significant difference between the two arms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ten Tije et al (2005)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Prospective study comparing PK of docetaxel in 25 elderly (≥65 years) vs. 26 younger (&lt;65 years) cancer patients.</td>
<td>No significant difference in docetaxel PK between the two groups. Non-significant higher rate of grade 4 and febrile neutropenia in the elderly group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fouad et al (2018)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Prospective study investigating PK-based dosing of docetaxel and 5-FU in HNC patients.</td>
<td>PK-based dosing of docetaxel and 5-FU did not result in an inferior outcome. PK-based dosing associated with significantly less CTH-related toxicity and death.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PK: Pharmacokinetic, 5-FU: 5-Fluorouracil, BSA: Body surface area, AUC: Area under the curve, CTH: Chemotherapy, HNC: Head and neck cancer

One randomized multicenter study conducted by Fety et al investigated the outcome of adjusting the dose of 5-FU according to PKs in advanced HNC patients. One-hundred and twenty-two patients with HNC randomly received platinol and 5-FU protocol according to their body surface area (BSA) (standard group) or PK-based dosing (PK group). Grade 3-4 toxicities were higher in the standard group (17.5%) in comparison to the PK group (7.6%) and the difference was statistically significant (p = 0.013). The objective response rate was more or less the same in the two groups. The investigators concluded that PK dose adjustment can result in reducing treatment related toxicities without compromising the treatment efficiency and the response rate.

A recent prospective study was conducted by Fouad et al to evaluate the outcome of treating advanced HNC patients with docetaxel and 5-FU using doses based on PKs. The study included 39 patients (all with stage III and IV HNC). The patients were started on neoadjuvant CTH protocol including docetaxel, cisplatin and 5-FU (DPF). During the first cycle, doses of all the 3 chemotherapeutic agents were based on BSA. With the beginning of the second cycle, the doses of docetaxel and 5-FU were adjusted based on PK whereas cisplatin dose was not modified and was based on BSA throughout the study. After a median follow up period of 14 months, the estimated overall survival was 15.1 months and progression free survival was 10.6 months. During the evaluation of response, 29 patients were assessed. Percentage of patients who achieved complete response was 25.3% of the assessed cases (7 patients) whereas the percentage of patients with partial response was 66.7% (20 patients) with overall response rate of 89.6%. Obviously, there was significant reduction in CTH-induced toxicities (p = 0.007) and deaths (p = 0.01) after the modification of doses of docetaxel and 5-FU according to PK. The authors concluded that the treatment outcome obtained with PK-based dose modification of docetaxel and 5-FU in the DPF protocol is not inferior to that obtained with BSA-based dosing. Furthermore, PK-based dosing was associated with better tolerance to treatment and less serious toxicities.
CONCLUSIONS

The studies that evaluated PK-based CTH administration in advanced HNC showed encouraging results in improving response and lowering toxicity. However, further research is needed for better evaluation of this promising approach.
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