**Introduction**

The rationale behind adjuvant post-operative radiotherapy following breast-conserving surgery (BCS) is the sterilization of the tumor bed of any residual subclinical disease that may be present after surgical excision. Adjuvant radiotherapy has been shown to be effective in reducing the risk of local recurrence in early stage disease and some studies also demonstrate improved survival in high-risk pre-menopausal women. The late widespread adoption of BCS and adjuvant post-operative radiotherapy, especially in good prognosis young women with early stage breast disease, increased the importance of late complications due to the long expected disease-free interval.1

Second primary malignancies (SPMs) occurring after oncological treatment have become a major concern during the past decade. Their incidence has long been underestimated because most patients had a short life expectancy after treatment or their follow-up was shorter than 15 years. With major improvement of long-term survival, longer follow-up, cancer registries and end-result programs, it was found that the cumulative incidence of SPM could be as high as 20% of patients treated by radiotherapy.2 Population- and hospital-based studies suggested that among breast cancer survivors, the risk of developing a second cancer at other sites is 10–40% higher than in the general population.4

The risk of cancer following ionising radiation has been extensively studied and is relatively well known compared to the risks due to other carcinogens. However, little data are available for breast cancer patients even though radiation therapy is widely used to reduce the risk of local recurrence. The relative risk of second malignant neoplasm (SMN) associated with external radiotherapy is between 0.7 and 1.86.7

The conditions in radiotherapy units delivering high doses to limited volumes are quite different from those in the cohorts studied to estimate the risk of ionizing radiation. Consequently, predicting the risks of radiation for breast cancer requires an estimate of the relationship between the radiation dose at a given site and the risk of SMN at this site. Owing to the heterogeneity of the distribution of the radiation dose through the body, the overall role of radiotherapy in SMN risk can only be directly investigated by studying this relationship for all the sites of SMN together.8
PATIENT AND METHODS

Twenty patients with Stage I, II or III breast who underwent breast conservative surgery (BCS) or modified radical mastectomy (MRM), Level I–II lymph node dissection, and locoregional RT at Kasr El-Aini cancer center (NEMROCK), were chosen for this study. For each patient 3D-CRT and IMRT plans were generated.

Target delineation

The thyroid gland was delineated by a senior radiation oncologist and with the help of the radiologist. All visible gland was included in all the CT scan cuts as shown in Figures (1,2).

Treatment Planning

A 3-D CT scan with 5-mm slice spacing was performed. A radiation oncologist delineated the planning target volume (PTV). The PTV comprised the left breast and the chest wall in cases of breast conservative surgery (BCS), and the left chest wall in cases of modified radical mastectomy (MRM). The Internal mammary chain (IMC) PTV was defined by an elliptical cylinder, with a major (lateral) and minor (anterior-posterior) axes of 30 and 20 mm, respectively, centered on the IMC vessels. This extended between the inferior aspect of the ipsilateral clavicular head and the fourth intercostals space to ensure only the first three intercostals spaces were included. The volume of the contralateral breast, the lung and the heart were defined as organs at risk (OARs). The isocenter was positioned in the middle of the PTV.

In 3D-CRT plans, The PWTF plans were performed using standard forward planning methods, the gantry angle was optimized in the beam’s eye view (BEV) for a minimum lung area and beam divergence toward the lung was compensated by adjusting the gantry angle of the beams. The ipsilateral lung was spared using a multileaf collimator (MLC). The shape of the MLC was defined in the BEV with a distance of 10 mm to the PTV to compensate the penumbra in cranio-caudal direction and toward the lung Figures (3,4).

In IMRT plans, Seven co-planer equi-angular beams are to be used Figure (5). The treatment planning system generates the beam intensity profiles with a bixel (or beam element) size of 5x5 mm², using step and shoot IMRT. Dose calculation will be via pencil-beam method. Cost functions are selected and determined to satisfy the plan goals regarding the target coverage and risk organs protection. Optimization uses superposition algorithm. All beam weights and intensity profiles are optimized using Helios inverse planning IMRT module. Optimization is performed by means of a steepest gradient search algorithm, then the segmentation process accomplished according to leaf motion calculator (LMC) algorithm. The maximum number of iterations will be 1000. Dose constrains to PTV & organs at risk are estimated numerically and also using constrains, and the optimization process is started and online modifications could be attempted during optimization process to be able to get the best calculated fluence map and dose distribution. Then the segmentation process starts to build the actual fluence for each beam according to leaf constrains of the treatment machine and the process accomplished via LMC algorithm. All plans were calculated at the XIO version 4.2 planning system. Photon energy of 6 MV Elekta accelerator was used.

Dose–volume histograms (DVHs) were generated for all relevant structures for both techniques. Specific metrics were chosen for comparison of the IMRT and 3D-CRT plans Figures (6,7). Dmax, Dmin, Dmean, and V5Gy were compared.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical calculations were done using computer package SPSS version 16 (statistical package for the social science; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) statistical program for Microsoft widows.

RESULTS

Four parameters were used to evaluate the radiation dose to thyroid gland (Dmax, Dmin, Dmean and V5Gy). All of these parameters were numerically more in IMRT than in 3D-conformal technique, but V5Gy showed statistical significance in the IMRT technique as shown in Table (1).

In the subgroup of patients who had breast conservative surgery, the parameters used to evaluate radiation dose to thyroid gland (Dmax, Dmin, Dmean and V5Gy) were all numerically more in the IMRT than in 3D-conformal technique. Dmax and V5Gy were also statistically significant in the IMRT technique as shown in Table (2).

In the subgroup of patients who had modified radical mastectomy, the parameters used to evaluate radiation dose to thyroid gland (Dmax, Dmin, Dmean and V5Gy) were all numerically more in the IMRT than in 3D-conformal technique. V5Gy was statistically significant in the IMRT technique as shown in Table (3).
Figure 1: Showed the delineation of the thyroid gland on CT planning.

Figure 2: Showed the three-dimensional relation of thyroid gland to the PTV (breast after BCS).
Figure 3: Shows the 3DCRT planning with the two PWTF.

Figure 4: Shows the BEV for the 3d-CRT plan.
Figure 5: Shows the seven equi-distant co-planner beams in IMRT planning.

Figure 6: Showed the DVH for the 3D-conformal plan with doses to the PTV and Thyroid gland.
Figure 7: Showed the DVH for the IMRT plan with doses to the PTV and Thyroid gland.

Table 1: Evaluation of Thyroid Radiation Dose all cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>3D-CRT (20 plans) (mean ± Std)</th>
<th>IMRT (20 plans) (mean ± Std)</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dmax</td>
<td>129.30 ± 31.46 cGy</td>
<td>626.0 ± 238.97 cGy</td>
<td>0.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dmin</td>
<td>16.20 ± 5.89 cGy</td>
<td>39.70 ± 15.81 cGy</td>
<td>0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dmean</td>
<td>55.20 ± 11.67 cGy</td>
<td>118.10 ± 41.53 cGy</td>
<td>0.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V5Gy</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>4.85 ± 1.35%</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dmax= Dose received by 1% of thyroid gland
Dmin= Dose received by 99% of thyroid gland
Dmean= The mean dose received by thyroid gland
V5Gy= The percentage of thyroid gland received at least 5Gy.

Table 2: Evaluation of Thyroid Radiation Dose in BCS (Breast Conservative Surgery) cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>3D-CRT (10 plans) (mean ± Std)</th>
<th>IMRT (10 plans) (mean ± Std)</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dmax</td>
<td>113.6 ± 12.93 cGy</td>
<td>833.60 ± 38.14 cGy</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dmin</td>
<td>12.4 ± 4.3 cGy</td>
<td>41.20 ± 19.25 cGy</td>
<td>0.220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dmean</td>
<td>46.0 ± 10.19 cGy</td>
<td>151.60 ± 28.93 cGy</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V5Gy</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>7.70 ± 1.43%</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

The parameters used to evaluate radiation dose to thyroid gland were more in the IMRT than 3D-conformal technique, which means that thyroid gland was exposed to more radiation doses in the IMRT technique. V5 Gy was statistically significant in all patient groups. Dmax was more radiation doses in the IMRT technique, which means that thyroid gland was exposed to increased head leakage. The observed doses of radiation to thyroid gland were more in the IMRT than 3D-conformal technique, presumably due to the higher monitor units and resulting increased head leakage. The observed doses of radiation to thyroid gland are much less than that which was expected to produce thyroid function abnormalities. The maximum dose which we found didn’t exceed 10 Gy. Johansen et al.9 pointed that the development of hypothyroidism in these patients would primarily depend on the volume receiving relatively high radiation doses (≥30 Gy) thus with the risk of insufficient post-radiotherapy hormone production. This dose was much less than what we found in our study. The thyroid radiation dose was of concern regarding the risk of secondary malignancy. Despite we found that the radiation dose didn’t exceed the 10 Gy, but still there was a risk of secondary malignancy. Tubiana, 20099 pointed that preliminary data suggest that second primary malignancies (SPMs) were mainly observed in tissues having absorbed doses above 2 Gy (fractionated irradiation) and that their incidence increases with the dose. However, in children thyroid and breast cancers are observed following doses as low as 100 cGy. Ron et al.10 showed that the linear-exponential model appears to better capture the substantial increased risk for persons treated with more than 2 Gy compared with those treated with less than 2 Gy, and the apparent flattening of the ERR at the very high dose levels. They also found that the pooled excess relative risk per Gy (ERR/Gy) was 7.7 (95% CI = 2.1, 28.7). These data suggested that the radiation dose to the thyroid gland which we found carried the potential risk for thyroid cancer.

CONCLUSION

The IMRT technique was shown to increase significantly thyroid radiation doses. This drawback of IMRT was more in breast conservative surgery than modified radical mastectomy cases. Despite the radiation doses fell below the level associated with thyroid functional abnormalities, but still in the range associated with the excess risk of thyroid cancer.
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